

# Probing New Physics with $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ decays<sup>1</sup>

Dan Pirjol  
Department of Physics, UCSD  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## Abstract

In the Standard Model, the photon emitted in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decays is predicted to be left-handed polarized. We discuss the types of New Physics which can produce a deviation from this prediction, focusing on the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model. A new method is proposed for testing these predictions, which makes use of angular correlations in exclusive  $B \rightarrow K_{\text{res}}(\rightarrow K\pi\pi)\gamma$  decays.

Rare radiative  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decays have been extensively investigated both as a probe of the flavor structure of the Standard Model and for their sensitivity to any new physics beyond the SM. The present experimental average of the inclusive rate  $Br(B \rightarrow X_s\gamma) = (3.22 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-4}$  [1] agrees well with the Standard Model prediction. To next-to-leading order in perturbation theory one obtains  $Br(B \rightarrow X_s\gamma) = (3.54 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-4}$  (corresponding to a pole mass ratio  $m_c/m_b = 0.25 \pm 0.06$ ) [2]. In addition to the rather well predicted inclusive branching ratio, there is a unique feature of this process within the SM which drew only moderate theoretical attention and which has not yet been tested. Namely, the emitted photons are left-handed in radiative  $B^-$  and  $\bar{B}^0$  decays and are right-handed in  $B^+$  and  $B^0$  decays. In the SM the photon in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  is predominantly left-handed, since only left chiral quarks couple to the  $W$  into loops.

This prediction holds in the SM to within a few percent, up to corrections of order  $\Lambda/m_b$ , for exclusive and inclusive decays. On the other hand, in certain extensions of the Standard Model, an appreciable right-handed component can be induced in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decays. While measurements of the inclusive radiative decay rate agree with SM calculations, no evidence exists so far for the helicity of the photons in these decays.

---

<sup>1</sup>Talk given at *Flavor Physics and CP Violation (FPCP)*, Philadelphia, PA, May 2002.

In view of its popularity as a model of New Physics, we will focus the discussion below on the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [3] (an alternative source of nonstandard photon helicity is the left-right symmetric model [4]). In addition to the  $t - W^\pm$  penguin loop, which is responsible for the  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decay in the Standard Model, the MSSM allows for new contributions. These come from loops containing at least one charged particle, and include the top-charged Higgs contribution  $t - H^\pm$ , stop-chargino  $\tilde{t} - \tilde{\chi}^\pm$  and sbottom-neutralino/gluino contributions  $\tilde{\chi}^0 - \tilde{b}$ ,  $\tilde{g} - \tilde{b}$ .

The flavor structure of the MSSM is not determined by symmetries and is generated by the Yukawa terms in the superpotential and the soft SUSY breaking terms which introduce many arbitrary parameters, and in general can lead to FCNC transitions (SUSY flavor problem). They are naturally constrained in models with minimal flavor violation (MFV), where the only source of flavor violation is the usual CKM matrix. The most general form of the squark mass matrices in the super-CKM basis can be written as (see, e.g., [5])

$$\mathcal{M}_U^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{U_{LL}}^2 & M_{U_{LR}}^2 \\ M_{U_{LR}}^{2\dagger} & M_{U_{RR}}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{M}_D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{D_{LL}}^2 & M_{D_{LR}}^2 \\ M_{D_{LR}}^{2\dagger} & M_{D_{RR}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The  $3 \times 3$  submatrices  $M_{U_{ij}}^2$  and  $M_{D_{ij}}^2$  are given in terms of the quark mass matrices and soft SUSY breaking terms  $M_{U_{L,R}}^2$ ,  $M_{D_{L,R}}^2$  and  $A_U, A_D$  defined as usual by

$$\mathcal{L}_{soft} = - \sum_{\tilde{Q}=\tilde{U}_L, \tilde{D}_L} \tilde{Q}^\dagger M_{\tilde{Q}_L}^2 \tilde{Q} - \tilde{U}^\dagger M_{\tilde{U}_R}^2 \tilde{U} - \tilde{D}^\dagger M_{\tilde{D}_R}^2 \tilde{D} + \tilde{Q} A_U H_U \tilde{U} + \tilde{Q} A_D H_D \tilde{D}. \quad (2)$$

In MFV models the matrices  $A_U, A_D$ ,  $M_{\tilde{U}_R}^2$  and  $M_{\tilde{D}_R}^2$  must be diagonal. Usually, this is taken to imply that the only contribution to  $\tilde{b} \rightarrow s\gamma$  in MFV-MSSM is the top-charged Higgs diagram, which has the same dominant chiral structure as the SM. In such a situation, the photon in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  is again left-handed.

It was pointed out in [6] that MFV models actually allow nontrivial flavor violation in the squark sector. The matrices  $M_{\tilde{U}_L}^2$  and  $M_{\tilde{D}_L}^2$  are connected by  $SU_L(2)$  gauge invariance as  $M_{\tilde{D}_L}^2 = V_{CKM}^\dagger M_{\tilde{U}_L}^2 V_{CKM}$ , which implies that a diagonal, but not proportional to the unit matrix  $M_{\tilde{D}_L}^2$ , can give a non-diagonal structure for  $M_{\tilde{U}_L}^2$  (and vice versa). This allows the chargino-up squark and neutralino/gluino-down squark contributions to  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ . The latter graphs can occur with a helicity flip along the gluino line, which can produce a right-handed photon component in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ .

Relaxing the MFV constraints on the flavor structure (the so-called unconstrained MSSM) generally leaves the new physics contributions to  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  be dominated by the gluino graph, which can easily introduce a right-handed photon component. Data on the total  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  branching ratio set very stringent constraints on the allowed squark mass matrices [7]. An extreme way of satisfying such constraints in a generic

MSSM has been proposed in [8], where it is suggested that the MSSM graphs exactly cancel the SM contribution to the left-handed penguin amplitude, in such a way that the right-handed amplitude precisely reproduces the observed rate. A measurement of the photon helicity in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  will clearly help decide which of these possibilities (if any) is realized in Nature.

Several ways were suggested to look for signals of physics beyond the SM through photon helicity effects in  $B \rightarrow X_s\gamma$ . In the first suggested method [9] the photon helicity is probed through mixing-induced CP asymmetries. The sensitivity to the polarization comes from interference between  $B^0$  and  $\bar{B}^0$  decay amplitudes into a common state of definite photon polarization. However, measuring asymmetries at a level of a few percent, as expected in the SM, require an order of  $10^9$   $B$  mesons which might not be available at the existing B factories for some time. In a second scheme one studies angular distributions in  $B \rightarrow \gamma(\rightarrow e^+e^-)K^*(\rightarrow K\pi)$ , where the photon can be virtual [10] or real, converting in the beam pipe to an electron-positron pair [11]. The efficiency of this method is comparable to that of the previous method. A somewhat different method was proposed in [12] and makes use of angular correlations in both exclusive and inclusive  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow X_s\gamma$  decays.

We discuss in the following a method [13, 14, 15] for measuring the photon polarization using angular correlations in the strong decay of a  $K_{\text{res}}$  resonance produced in  $B \rightarrow K_{\text{res}}\gamma$ . Denoting with  $A_{R,L}(\vec{p}_i)$  the amplitude for the strong decay of a  $K_{\text{res}}$  at rest in a spin state  $|j, m = \pm 1\rangle$  into a final state  $|f, \vec{p}_i\rangle$  containing spinless hadrons with momenta  $\vec{p}_i$ , one could ask what is the condition for a nonvanishing asymmetry  $|A_R(\vec{p}_i)| \neq |A_L(\vec{p}_i)|$ . This is a typical 'motion-reversal' asymmetry of the form

$$a_{i \rightarrow f}^{T\text{-odd}} \equiv |T_{i \rightarrow f}|^2 - |T_{\bar{i} \rightarrow \bar{f}}|^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{i}, \bar{f}$  are motion-reversed states, obtained by changing the momenta and spins  $(\vec{p}_i, s_i) \rightarrow (-\vec{p}_i, -s_i)$ . Since parity is conserved in strong interactions, one can replace  $|T_{\bar{i} \rightarrow \bar{f}}| = |T_{P\bar{i} \rightarrow P\bar{f}}|$  on the right-hand side to recover the polarization asymmetry  $|A_R(\vec{p}_i)|^2 - |A_L(\vec{p}_i)|^2$ .  $T$ -invariance (or equivalently CP invariance) of the strong interactions gives  $|T_{\bar{i} \rightarrow \bar{f}}| = |T_{f \rightarrow i}|$ . Using this together with the unitarity condition  $T_{i \rightarrow f}^* - T_{f \rightarrow i} = -i \sum_k T_{i \rightarrow k}^* T_{f \rightarrow k} \equiv -i\alpha_{i \rightarrow f}$  into (3) gives that the left/right asymmetry can be written as

$$a_{i \rightarrow f}^{T\text{-odd}} = 2\text{Im} (T_{i \rightarrow f} \alpha_{i \rightarrow f}) - |\alpha_{i \rightarrow f}|^2. \quad (4)$$

If all decay amplitudes are real then  $\alpha_{i \rightarrow f} = 0$  which shows that a nonvanishing asymmetry requires nontrivial final state interactions.

Furthermore, 2-body final states (e.g.  $K\pi$ ) cannot produce an asymmetry because it is impossible to form a quantity which is odd under motion reversal from just two vectors  $\vec{q}$  (photon momentum in the  $K_{\text{res}}$  frame) and  $\hat{n}$  (the direction parameterizing the final state  $|K(\hat{n})\pi(-\hat{n})\rangle$ ).

A nonvanishing asymmetry can be realized however in 3-body strong decays  $K_{\text{res}} \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ . Such decays are realized for the lowest excitations of the  $K$  with quantum numbers  $J^P = 1^-, 1^+, 2^+$ , some of which have been recently observed to be produced in rare radiative decays. Both Belle and CLEO measured recently the decay  $B \rightarrow K_2^*(1430)\gamma$  with a branching ratio of  $(1.50_{-0.53}^{+0.58+0.11}) \times 10^{-5}$  and  $(1.66_{-0.53}^{+0.59} \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5}$ , respectively [16]. Similar branching ratios are expected from theoretical estimates for decays into  $K_1(1400)$  and  $K_1(1270)$  [17].

These states decay strongly to 3-body final  $K\pi\pi$  states. Neglecting a small non-resonant contribution, these decays are dominated by interference of a few channels

$$K_{\text{res}}^+ \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} K^{*+}\pi^0 \\ K^{*0}\pi^+ \\ \rho^+ K^0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow K^0\pi^+\pi^0, \quad K_{\text{res}}^0 \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} K^{*+}\pi^- \\ K^{*0}\pi^0 \\ \rho^- K^+ \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow K^+\pi^-\pi^0. \quad (5)$$

The different channels  $K^*\pi$  are related by isospin symmetry and contribute with a relative strong phase which can be parameterized in terms of Breit-Wigner forms. The  $K_1(1400)$  decays predominantly to  $K^*\pi$  in a mixture of  $S$  and  $D$  waves, with a branching ratio of 95% [18]. To a good approximation one can neglect the  $D$  wave component, which allows a parameter-free computation of the asymmetry. The smaller  $D$ -wave component and the  $K\rho$  contribution can be also included using the measurements of the partial wave amplitudes and phases from the ACCMOR Collaboration [19].

The most convenient way of presenting the result for the polarization sensitive observable is in terms of an angular distribution in the rest frame of the resonance  $K_{\text{res}}$ . Introducing the angle  $\theta$  between the opposite of the photon momentum  $-\vec{q}$  and the normal to the  $K\pi\pi$  decay plane defined as  $\vec{p}_{\text{slow}} \times \vec{p}_{\text{fast}}$ , where  $\vec{p}_{\text{slow}}$  and  $\vec{p}_{\text{fast}}$  are the momenta of the slower and faster pions, this is given by [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dsd\cos\theta} &= |c_1|^2 \left\{ 1 + \cos^2\tilde{\theta} + 4P_\gamma R_1 \cos\tilde{\theta} \right\} \\ &+ |c_2|^2 \left\{ \cos^2\tilde{\theta} + \cos^2 2\tilde{\theta} + 12P_\gamma R_2 \cos\tilde{\theta} \cos 2\tilde{\theta} \right\} + |c_3|^2 B_{K_1^*}(s) \sin^2\tilde{\theta} \\ &+ \left\{ c_{12} \frac{1}{2} (3\cos^2\tilde{\theta} - 1) + P_\gamma c'_{12} \cos^3\tilde{\theta} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the first three terms are produced by decays through  $K_{\text{res}}$  resonances with  $J^P = 1^+, 2^+$  and  $1^-$ , and the last terms come from  $1^+ - 2^+$  interference, respectively. The hadronic parameters  $R_{1,2}$  can be computed with relatively small model dependence as explained above, which gives [14, 15]  $R_1 = 0.22 \pm 0.03$ ,  $R_2 = 0.01 - 0.05$ . Thus, measurements of the angular distribution (6) can be used to extract the photon polarization parameter  $P_\gamma$ .

Assuming dominance of the  $J^P = 1^+$  resonance  $K_1(1400)$ , the angular distribution (6) predicts an up-down asymmetry of the photon momentum direction relative to the

normal to the  $K\pi\pi$  plane  $A_{\text{up-down}} = \frac{3}{2}R_1P_\gamma$ . The significant value of this asymmetry makes this channel particularly attractive.

Assuming an exclusive branching ratio  $Br(B \rightarrow K_1(1400)\gamma) = 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$  and taking the final state in (5) to be detected through the  $K^+\pi^-\pi^0$  and  $K_S\pi^+\pi^0$  modes, implies that about  $2 \times 10^7$   $B\bar{B}$  pairs are required to measure 80  $K\pi\pi\gamma$  events which should be sufficient for a  $3\sigma$  confirmation of a left-handed photon in  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  decay. Such a measurement should be feasible at the existing  $B$  factories in the near future.

It is a pleasure to thank Michael Gronau, Yuval Grossman and Anders Ryd for an enjoyable collaboration. I am grateful to Jörg Urban for comments on the manuscript. This work has been supported by the DOE under Grant No. DOE-FG03-97ER40546.

## References

- [1] CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 251807 (2001); ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**429**, 169 (1998); BELLE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**511**, 151 (2001).
- [2] M. Misiak, hep-ph/0106050, proceedings of the XXXVIth Rencontres de Moriond, Les Arcs, March 10-17, 2001, and references therein; A. Ali, C. Greub, G. Hiller and E. Lunghi, hep-ph/0112300.
- [3] For a review of  $B$  physics in SUSY models, see S. Bertolini, F. Borzumati and A. Masiero, *B Decays*, second edition, ed. S. Stone, World Scientific, 1994, p. 620.
- [4] K. Fujikawa and A. Yamada, Phys. Rev. D**49**, 5890 (1994); K. S. Babu, K. Fujikawa and A. Yamada, Phys. Lett. B**333**, 196 (1994); P. Cho and M. Misiak, Phys. Rev. D**49**, 5894 (1994). For a review of  $B$  physics in LR models, see M. Gronau, *B Decays*, second edition, ed. S. Stone, World Scientific, 1994, p. 644.
- [5] M. Misiak, S. Pokorski and J. Rosiek, in *Heavy Flavors II*, edited by A. J. Buras and M. Lindner, hep-ph/9703442.
- [6] C. Bobeth, T. Ewerth, F. Krüger and J. Urban, hep-ph/0204225.
- [7] F. Borzumati, T. Hurth, C. Greub and D. Wyler, Phys. Rev. D**62**, 075005 (2000); T. Besmer, C. Greub and T. Hurth, Nucl. Phys. B**609**, 359 (2001).
- [8] L. Everett, G. L. Kane, S. Rigolin, L. T. Wang and T. T. Wang, JHEP **0201**, 02 (2002).
- [9] D. Atwood, M. Gronau and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 185 (1997).

- [10] D. Melikhov, N. Nikitin and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B**442**, 381 (1998); F. Krüger, L. Sehgal, N. Sinha and R. Sinha, Phys. Rev. D**61**, 114028 (2000); C. S. Kim, Y. G. Kim, C. D. Lü and T. Morozumi, Phys. Rev. D**62**, 034013 (2000).
- [11] Y. Grossman and D. Pirjol, JHEP **6**, 29 (2000).
- [12] T. Mannel and S. Recksiegel, Acta Phys. Polonica B**28**, 2489 (1997); M. Gremm, F. Krüger and L. M. Sehgal, Phys. Lett. B**355**, 579 (1995); G. Hiller and A. Kagan, Phys. Rev. D**65**, 074038 (2002).
- [13] T. Islam and A. Weinstein, CLEO Internal Note, July 1999 (unpublished).
- [14] M. Gronau, Y. Grossman, D. Pirjol and A. Ryd, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 051802 (2002).
- [15] M. Gronau and D. Pirjol, hep-ph/0205065.
- [16] CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5283 (2000); Babar Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 101805 (2002); Belle Collaboration, A. Ishikawa, talk at the XXVII Recontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories, Les Arcs, 2002.
- [17] A. Ali, T. Ohl and T. Mannel, Phys. Lett. B**298**, 195 (1993); S. Veseli and M. G. Olsson, Phys. Lett. B**367**, 309 (1996).
- [18] Particle Data Group, D. E. Groom *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C**15**, 1 (2000).
- [19] C. Daum *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B**187**, 1 (1981).